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Introduction

Palliative Medicine’s evolving multidimensional nature and accent upon maximising comfort and quality of life that “requires changing one’s conceptual model from disease and diagnosis to patient goals, prognosis and function” creates a unique challenge for medical educators and curriculum planners [1]. Mentoring has been proposed as a means of circumnavigating Palliative Medicine’s educational hurdles [2-5]. Though theoretically viable, the employment of mentoring in Palliative Medicine has been hindered by the presence of a variety of descriptions of mentoring approaches and practices. The situation is compounded by an absence of a clear definition of mentoring and a lack of a clear understanding of mentoring approaches that slowed the development of effective mentoring programs [6-8].

Whilst reviews of mentoring in medicine have sought to characterize and define mentoring practice, a lack of viable learning theories in mentoring represent a considerable obstacle to mentoring in medicine in general and indeed in Palliative Medicine [3, 9-20]. A learning theory of mentoring in Palliative Medicine is necessary to guide the development of an effective mentoring program in this speciality [17]. To forward a mentoring theory in Palliative Medicine in the midst of a lack of reports of mentoring programs in the extent literature requires 4 stages of consideration.

The second stage revolves around the assumptions made in adopting this approach. First, it is assumed that learning theories in medicine can be applied to the Palliative Medicine setting. This assumption draws upon prevailing editorials and commentaries upon mentoring in Palliative Medicine setting. This assumption draws upon prevailing editorials and commentaries upon mentoring in Palliative Medicine [1,2,4,21,22]. Second, context variability and differences in
mentoring approaches, mentee profiles, mentor availability, clinical settings and prevailing organizational and healthcare systems in individual accounts of mentoring programs may be circumvented by drawing upon regnant literature, systematic and narrative reviews on mentoring. Third thematic analysis of mentoring practice in internal medicine will reveal consistent themes that can be applied to prevailing learning theories of mentoring to assess their viability.

The third stage involves the application of the specific ‘evidence backed’ learning theory of mentoring theory to a Palliative Medicine mentoring program. Appraisal of the program will aid the appropriate adaptation of the learning theory to better reflect mentoring in the Palliative Medicine setting.

The final stage for forwarding a learning theory of mentoring in Palliative Medicine is assessing the adapted learning theory of mentoring within various settings in Palliative Medicine such as hospice, hospital and home care settings and mentoring mentees from different specialities and different clinical backgrounds and experience along the tenets of Interprofessional Professional Education.

This paper involves the first two stages of forwarding of a learning theory of mentoring in Palliative Medicine.

Characterizing mentoring practice in medicine

A literature search using Pub Med, ERIC, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, OVID and Science Direct databases identified literature reviews, systematic reviews and meta-analyses on the mentoring of medical undergraduates, residents and junior physicians by senior medical professionals in adult internal medicine between 1st January 2000 and 31st December 2015 revealed 6 reviews of mentoring [Table 1].

There were six definitions of mentoring proffered by the reviews of mentoring in medicine identified in this study [Table 2]. These definitions form a central aspect of the thematic analysis of mentoring practice.

Thematic analysis of definition of mentoring and the key findings of mentoring reviews

Thematic analysis of the definition of mentoring and the key findings of mentoring reviews revealed a number of themes. We discuss each in turn. At its core, mentoring is focused on developing the mentee’s potential. However the precise area of focus and mentoring approach employed is determined by the particular mentee’s needs, personal, professional, social and academic situation and the objectives of the project and organization. This highlights both the context dependent [19] and the mentee- and mentor- dependent nature of mentoring [6,7,12,15]. We discuss each aspect in turn.

The context-dependent [19] feature of mentoring is underscored by differences in the overall objectives of mentoring in clinical, research and academic settings and between undergraduates and postgraduates [6,7,12,15,19-23]. Differences in mentoring in undergraduate and postgraduate settings also reveal a goal-dependent aspect to mentoring [6,7,12,15,19-23]. In an undergraduate setting mentoring goals are often standardized and specified by the program[6,7,12,15,19]. Postgraduate mentoring is guided by mentee-specific goals and/or clinical factors [6,7,12,15,19-23].

The impact of the personal characteristics, professional skills, academic abilities and social situations of mentees and mentors upon the goals, roles and responsibilities assumed by both parties underline the mentee- and mentor- dependent facets of mentoring [6,7,12,15,19-23]. Mentoring processes have variously been described as dynamic and evolving as mentee’s and/or mentor’s academic, personal, professional and social situations change [6,7,12,15,19-23].

Krishna’s Mentoring Model

Krishna suggests that mentoring is characterized by 8 key features. This includes

1. Mentor dependent factors
2. Mentee dependent factors
3. Organizational dependent factors
4. Goal specific features
5. Context sensitive features
6. The evolving nature of mentoring relationships
7. The quality of mentoring relationships
8. Mentoring environment

Evaluating prevailing learning theories based on the thematic analysis

An effective learning theory in mentoring must encapsulate the 8 key features highlighted by Krishna’s Mentoring Model. We examine four dominant learning theories of mentoring in turn.

Apprenticeship model

Apprenticeship has long been seen as an integral part of physician training [25] and sees the pairing of an experienced mentor and an inexperienced mentee to aid acquisition of ‘tacit knowledge’ and skill [27-29]. An apprentice guided by the mentor begins at the periphery of the profession and progresses towards a greater clinical role through observing medical practitioners and gradually performing more tasks as they adapt and assimilate [27]. This training is focused on being a practitioner in the field rather than “learning about practice” [28].

The role of the mentor in role modelling skills, coaching through the provision of feedback, supporting learning through scaffolding, encouragement of mentees to articulate and explore their thoughts and reflect on their strengths and weaknesses; is critical to the apprenticeship [27,28]. This process is necessarily reflexive and dynamic to address changing contextual, goal, mentee- and mentor- dependent factors.

The success of the apprenticeship model, however, hinges upon an effective relationship between novice and mentor.
### Table 1: Characteristics of the 6 reviews of mentoring in medicine identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mentoring in Academic Medicine: A Systematic Review [6]              | 2006 | Sambunjak et al. | 1. A dynamic, reciprocal relationship in a work environment between an advanced career incumbent (mentor) and a beginner (mentee), aimed at promoting the development of both.  
2. A partnership in personal and professional growth and development. |
2. The meaning of "mentorship" is context dependent; terms such as "supervision" and "role-modeling" also are used interchangeably without clear demarcation, all describing developmental interactions. |
| Mentoring programs for medical students - a review of the PubMed literature 2000 - 2008 [23] | 2010 | Frei et al.    | 1. A process whereby an experienced, highly regarded, empathetic person (the mentor) guides another (usually younger) individual (the mentee) in the development and re-examination of their own ideas, learning, and personal and professional development. The mentor, who often (but not necessarily) works in the same organization or field as the mentee, achieves this by listening or talking in confidence to the mentee. 
2. An insightful process in which the mentor's wisdom is acquired and modified as needed, as well as a process that is supportive and often protective. The successful mentor-mentee relationship, therefore, requires the active participation of both parties. The mentoring relationship can be structured or loose. It can be a relatively short process or an ongoing one. There can be breaks in the relationship, with its re-establishment at some future time. The mentoring relationship is a dynamic one, evolving over time, during which both parties continually define and redefine their roles. It should be considered a process, not an end result, and the relationship must remain non-competitive. 
3. Unlike coaching or counselling, mentoring is a cost-free career-promotion strategy based on a personal relationship in a professional context. Whereas a tutor, teacher/educator, coach, or supervisor mainly focuses on promoting and supporting a junior's professional skills, a mentor is an active partner in an ongoing relationship who helps a mentee to maximize his or her potential and to reach personal and professional goals. 
4. A career mentor is someone who plays an active role in helping the student in his/her professional and personal development. Mentoring also comprises supporting a mentee in coping with stress and in establishing a satisfying work-life balance. 
5. Mentoring is a relational process in which five phases can be distinguished: information on career options, developing career plans, focusing on career goals, the realization of career steps, and evaluation of career advancement. |
| A Proposed Model for an Optimal Mentoring Environment for Medical Residents: A Literature Review [8] | 2010 | Davis et al.   | 1. A function of a relationship that (1) rests on a set of interactional foundations (the fundamental elements of the mentor–protégé relationship that inform their interactions) that allow a protégé to capitalize on his or her mentor's strengths and (2) enables a protégé to engage in behaviours that foster the development and growth that will yield a maximal outcome. |
| Mentoring Programs for Physicians in Academic Medicine: A Systematic Review [19] | 2013 | Kashiwagi et al. | 1. Mentoring model or program, defined as a formal activity or series of activities supporting the development and personal growth of physicians; mentoring program for physicians out of training; mentors described as medical professionals. 
2. The successful mentoring relationship in medicine develops when a mentor with skills, knowledge, and experience provides advice, guidance, and support to his or her mentee. These interactions foster characteristics and qualities in mentees that enable a successful career trajectory. |
| Mentoring Programs for Underrepresented Minority Faculty in Academic Medical Centers: A Systematic Review of the Literature [24] | 2013 | Beech et al.   | 1. Although numerous definitions of mentoring exist in the professional literature, traditionally it is a process through which a senior, experienced faculty member (mentor) provides guidance and support for a junior or less experienced colleague (mentee). 
2. A developmental partnership in which knowledge, experience, skills, and information are shared between mentor(s) and mentee(s) to foster the mentee's professional development and, often, also to enhance the mentor's perspectives and knowledge. |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring in Academic Medicine: A Systematic Review [6]</td>
<td>Mentoring is perceived as an important part of academic medicine, but there is an absence of experimental research to support this perception. Mentorship was an important influence on personal development, career guidance, career choice, research productivity, including publication and grant success. Women perceived that they had more difficulty finding mentors than colleagues who are men. Practical recommendations on mentoring in medicine that are evidence-based will require studies using more rigorous methods, addressing contextual issues, and using cross-disciplinary approaches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Systematic Review of Qualitative Research on the meaning and characteristics of Mentoring in Academic Medicine [7]</td>
<td>Mentoring is a complex relationship based on mutual professional and personal interests. Mentees should have the initiative in the development of the relationship, and mentors should be sincere, listen actively and understand mentees' needs. Successful mentoring requires commitment and interpersonal skills of the mentor and mentee, and a facilitating environment at academic medicine's institutions. Mentorship is a uniquely encompassing relationship, characterised by high levels of personal involvement and commitment. Relational and reciprocal outcomes like personal growth, interdependence and connectedness are important and under-investigated in mentoring in academic medicine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring programs for medical students - a review of the Pub Med literature 2000 – 2008 [23]</td>
<td>Mentoring is an important career advancement tool for medical students. Mentorships must be goal-oriented and rigorously evaluated in terms of the positive outcomes for mentees as well as for mentors. A mentor should empower the mentee to reach his full potential, be a role model, build a professional network and assist the mentee's personal development. A mentee should be initiated, accept criticism, and be able to assess the benefits of the mentoring relationship. More mentoring programs should be developed, but would need to be assessed and documented based on evidence of their value in terms of helping the mentees and mentors. Medical schools could then be monitored with respect to the provision of mentorships as a tenet of medical education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Proposed Model for an Optimal Mentoring Environment for Medical Residents: A Literature Review [8]</td>
<td>This model incorporates six foundations all mentoring relationships should have, namely emotional safety, support, mentee-centeredness, informality, responsiveness and respect. Encompassing all six foundations would allow mentees to develop key behaviours like exercising independence, reflecting, extrapolating and synthesizing. The empowerment of mentees will help them reach their full potential as physicians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring Programs for Physicians in Academic Medicine: A Systematic Review [19]</td>
<td>There are seven potential components in a mentoring program: Mentor preparation, planning committees, mentor-mentee contracts, mentor-mentee pairing, mentoring activities, formal curricula and program finding. Protected time was valued by both mentors and mentees, and written agreements encouraged accountability to the mentoring relationship. Limited resources were a major barrier to the quality of mentoring relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring Programs for Underrepresented Minority Faculty in Academic Medical Centres: A Systematic Review of the Literature [24]</td>
<td>Mentoring is an important part of academic medicine. Mentoring is an important part of academic medicine, but few publications documented mentoring programs. Barriers to mentoring relationships are identified, including time-restricted funding, inadequate evaluation and feedback, significant time commitments required from mentors, and institutional challenges. Lack of funds was also prominent as programs had minimal institutional support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mentor training and the mentor’s ability to mentor and facilitate learning at each stage of the apprenticeship is critical and often unconsidered [27-32]. Neither is the mentee’s attitude, skills and willingness to be guided [27-32]. Implicit in the apprenticeship model are assumptions that mentees are motivated and see the value of the apprenticeship process and its relevance to their future careers [33]. In addition, apprenticeship assumes that mentees have some basic skills and experience to build upon particularly within the context of Palliative Medicine. This limits the viability of apprenticeship amongst undergraduates and highlights the implied concepts most commonly associated with adult learning theories.

The multi-theories model

Knowles's Adult learning theory [34] pivots upon encompasses five critical assumptions

1. Adult learners tend to be self-directed
2. Adult learners build upon their experiences
3. The adult learners readiness to learn is related to their social roles
4. The adult learners orientation to learning shifts from subject-centred to performance-centred
5. The motivation to learn in adult mentees is intrinsic rather than extrinsic [35]
Within the Palliative Care setting and particularly within the context of undergraduate training and early postgraduate training, some of Knowles’s principles struggle to gain traction. To begin learners are extrinsically motivated to complete compulsory postings. Undergraduates frequently have little exposure to an interdisciplinary team working and end of life care to build upon whilst a limited understanding of the subject matter and the various aspects of importance restricts their ability to be self-directed learners. Similarly, not all learners are ‘ideal’ adult learners [36]. Wu et al [37] and Wahab et al [38] argue that the mentee’s and mentor’s character and the learning context have significant bearing upon learning. The individualized and context-dependent nature of mentoring process and impact of both the quality and the evolving nature of the relationships further suggest that application of the adult learning theory to the Palliative Care setting requires adaptation.

Adapting Taylor and Hamdy [39] multi-theories model which proffers an evolution on adult learning theories offers a potential avenue to an implementation of the adult learning theory [39-41]. Furthermore Taylor and Hamdy [39] multi-theories model places significant importance upon a key element of mentoring – the mentor-mentee relationship [39-41]. The multi-theories model consists of five stages, each of which confers differing responsibilities to the mentee and the mentor reflecting the evolving nature of mentoring relationships. In the dissonance phase, gaps are identified in the mentee’s knowledge. The mentor’s role in this phase involves assessing the mentee’s motivation, learning styles and stage of development in order to formulate a mentoring plan and provide the mentee with the necessary resources they would require to develop.

The refinement phase is characterised by the formation of new concepts brought about by the addition of new data and experiences to existing knowledge and understanding. This is achieved through brainstorming for possible solutions to different problems, active participation and completion of tasks, and refining these experiences and data into concepts.

In the organisation phase, mentees restructure their existing knowledge pool through the process of validating hypotheses of the new knowledge. The feedback and consolidation phases allow the mentee to reflect and validate any new information, acknowledging the increase in their knowledge base as well as the learning process.

The flexibility of this model [39] allows its application to a wide range of mentor-mentee relationships [42] and settings [43]. It is likely that given the evolving nature of mentoring practice and different goals of mentoring within each stage of the mentoring process, the five stages of mentoring may occur concurrently.

**Source of Variance theory**

The source of Variance theory of mentoring derived from observations of mentoring in psychology by O’Neil and Wrightsman [40] also focuses on the quality of mentoring relationships. The Source of Variance theory of mentoring is influenced by 4 domains of mentoring. The factors influencing mentoring relationships include the role of mentor and mentee, their personalities, situational variables and diversity variables. The parameters influencing mentoring relationships pertain to the degree of mutuality, breadth and depth of the relationship, congruence of mentor and mentee needs and their sensitivity to diversity. The correlates influencing mentoring relationships refers aspects of mentoring dynamics and encompasses interpersonal respect, professionalism-collegiality, role fulfilment, power, control and competition. The six “critical activities that define the working relationship” [40] include making the crucial entry decision, building mutual trust, taking risks, teaching skills, learning professional standard, and dissolving or changing of the relationship.

Whilst these domains do complement Taylor and Hamdy’s multi-theories model[39] and attempt to shed more light on the mentoring relationship, there is little if any data to support either model.

**Multiple Mentors Theory**

Given the holistic, multiprofessional and longitudinal nature of Palliative Care, Periyakoil [2] and Jackson &Arnold [4] suggest a similar approach in mentoring in Palliative Care. This approach echoes Higgins and Kram’s [46] exploration of the idea of a mentee having multiple mentors. Higgins and Kram suggest that four factors affected a mentee’s developmental network and contribute to the efficacy of the mentoring relationships: the network itself, the developmental relationships in the network, the diversity of the network and the strength of the relationships in the network. The developmental network refers to people in whom the mentee may have an active interest in seeking career advice and support of their professional and personal goals. The different types of relationships present in the network such as “mentor, sponsor, coach and peer” provide differing amounts of career and psychosocial support. Diversity is defined as the number of different social systems the relationships stem from, and the extent to which the people in the network are connected to one another. Finally, the strength of the relationship refers to the level of emotional affect, reciprocity and frequency of communication [47].

Many of the assumptions that this theory is built upon remain unproven and like the theories discussed previously remain largely theoretical. Furthermore, the multiple mentors theory like the other theories discussed do not consider cultural, organizational nor clinical contexts [48].

**Discussion**

It is evident that a mentoring theory in Palliative Care must necessarily encapsulate Krishna’s Mentoring Model. Thus far it would appear that Taylor and Hamdy [39] multi-theories model appears to best capture these considerations albeit with the inclusion of the multiple mentor theory to capture the multi professional and multidimensional nature of Palliative Care. The
apprenticeship model also proffers a practical consideration about clinical practice in palliative care and indeed clinical care as a whole. Both the apprenticeship model and the multiple mentor theory also make tacit references to the adult learning theory that Taylor and Hamdy then build upon whilst the Source of Variance theory underlines the central importance of mentoring relationships in such practice.

Lapses in consideration of the evolving nature of mentoring and the presence of changing and often multiple short and medium term goals within the overall objective of the mentoring process highlight the need for further ‘tweaking’ of the theory. Cultural and organizational considerations impacting the mentoring process and context in which mentoring relationships occur need to be better considered in any future mentoring theory. Critically efforts must be made to integrate Palliative Care’s multiprofessional educational, training and practice approach. Such an approach must encapsulate the principles of Interprofessional Education [49] and sensitivity to the need for multiple mentors often concurrently at various stages of the mentee’s development.

Finally, a significant assumption that has underpinned this discussion also requires closer scrutiny, the viability of extrapolating mentoring data from a medical setting to allied health.

Future research

The field of mentoring research is rich with potential and one key area in need of further study is the dynamics of mentoring relationships, the quality of the mentoring relationship and how they evolve from the perspective of mentees and mentors.

Effective research methods and longitudinal studies that consider how mentoring relationships form, the impact of organizational factors and the mentoring environment upon mentoring interactions and personal ties are also required.

The context sensitive nature of mentoring demands that mentoring in nursing, medical social work, physiotherapy, occupational therapy and chaplaincy be carried out. Comparisons between the various approaches would allow common themes to be identified that will lead to the implementation of a Palliative Care specific mentoring approach that can be assessed for its efficacy. The lessons learnt will fuel the development of a mentoring theory in Palliative Care

Conclusion

The goal of this paper was to delineate a data-driven learning theory of mentoring between senior clinician and an undergraduate or a junior physician within the Palliative Care context that could be used to inform the design of mentoring programs in this specialty. Dissonance between prevailing theoretical conceptions of mentoring and regnant mentoring data has made forwarding such a mentoring theory difficult.

However Krishna’s Mentoring Model highlighting the key facets that must be encapsulated in any potential theory of mentoring and adaptation of Taylor and Hamdy’s [37] approach to forwarding a data driven learning theory suggests that an effective platform for a future mentoring theory could still be possible so long as robust context specific studies are developed to guide this process.
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